Jack Murphy

Contents

Health and Safety 2
Inquests & Public Inquiries 4
    Selected Cases 4
Maritime Cases 5
Products 6
Environmental Law 7
Motoring Offences 8
Personal Injury 8
    Selected Cases 8
Civil Fraud 9
Rankings 9
Qualifications 10
Recommendations 10

Jack Murphy

Call 2013

"Jack is a rising star. He has a great grasp of the law and technicality and what is needed to get the best outcome for the client... a very skilled and talented junior."
(Chamber and Partners 2025)

+44 (0)20 7797 8100

murphy@crownofficechambers.com

Jack's specialist practice focuses on criminal regulatory law; inquests and public inquiries; product safety; and the complimentary civil law areas of personal injury and product liability. His expertise is recognised by rankings in the major legal directories.

- He is an established member of Crown Office Chambers' market-leading criminal regulatory law team. His practice encompasses manslaughter, health & safety, environmental law, and motor offences. He has represented a range of corporate clients, including very large multi-nationals (e.g. Shell UK, McLaren, Balfour Beatty, Berkeley Homes), as well as smaller companies and individuals He regularly appears in both the Magistrates' and Crown Courts for trials and sentencing hearings. He has been led by some of the leading practitioners in the field.
- Jack has extensive inquests and inquiry experience. His expertise encompasses construction, public law, HSE and police involvement, health and social care, custody cases, sporting accidents, and product liability. He is regularly instructed as sole counsel in complex, multi-day jury inquests, and has represented both corporate clients and public bodies in several high-profile cases. He appeared in the first well-publicised case involving unlawful killing after the Supreme Court case of *Maughan*; was instructed in the Forbury Gardens Terrorist Attack Inquests and the Grenfell Tower Inquiry; and represented the Maritime and Coastguard Agency in the Cranston Inquiry.
- He has significant experience of cases involving marine accidents and maritime regulations, and marine products.
- Both his criminal and civil practice encompasses cases involving product safety / product liability issues.
- His personal injury practice encompasses both casualty and disease work, with a focus on liability disputes involving the interplay between common law regulatory duties of care. He is routinely instructed on cases valuing in excess of £500,000, as well as cases involving fraud or dishonesty allegations.

Before coming to the Bar, Jack read History at Oxford University. Aside from the life at the Bar, he is a keen sailor.

Health and Safety

"*Jack is a go-to health and safety practitioner. He is a fantastic strategist who can navigate complex and sensitive cases with fierce determination* (Legal 500, 2026)

"Jack Murphy is always very good. He is very detail-focused and very good with clients. He is an all-rounder." (Chambers and Partners 2025)

Jack is an established member of Crown Office Chambers' market-leading criminal regulatory team, and is ranked in both leading directories. He regularly represents corporate clients of all sizes (including large multi-nationals), as well as individuals. His cases range from manslaughter prosecutions (both corporate and gross negligence), to HSWA 1974 cases, as well as niche areas of the field (including product safety, wilful neglect prosecutions, and fire safety offences).

Industries and issues covered include construction, manufacturing, transport, health and social care, leisure, agriculture, electrical safety, and maritime safety.

He is regularly instructed and led by some of the leading practitioners in the field. He has considerable Crown Court trial experience as a junior and, rare for his level of call, as sole counsel.

Manslaughter

Selected manslaughter cases include:

- *R v O'Connor* (Central Criminal Court, 2026) – A gross negligence manslaughter prosecution of a subcontractor's contracts manager arising out of a fatal trench collapse on a commercial building site in 2020. Jack was led by Mark Balysz KC. Central issues were the extent of the client's knowledge of and responsibility for unsafe excavations, and the extent of his duty of care as a matter of law. After a four-month trial, the jury was unable to reach a verdict. The prosecution subsequently offered no evidence on the manslaughter count and a not guilty verdict was entered.
- R v Alutrade Limited & Others (Wolverhampton Crown Court, March 2022) – One of the major manslaughter cases of 2022, Jack was led by Mark Balysz KC for a large metal recycling company charged with corporate manslaughter relating to a death due to unguarded machinery. The Crown accepted the company's guilty plea to corporate manslaughter, alongside pleas to non-causative HSWA offences from three co-defendant managers originally charged with gross negligence manslaughter. This plea deal, coupled with the Company's sentence (£2m, with four years to pay) was a favourable outcome.

Health and Safety

Selected health and safety cases include:

- R (ONR) v Balfour Beatty (Reading Crown Court) – an ongoing s.2/s.3 prosecution of the national infrastructure and civil engineering company arising from a fatal accident during piling rig operations at the Atomic Weapons Establishment, Aldermaston. Jack is led by Simon Antrobus KC.
- *R (HSE) v Knowles Logistics Limited* (October 2025) – The prosecution of a large haulage business, relating to an employee's fall from a large agricultural harvesting machine. Jack advised the company and represented it on sentence. The HSE alleged high culpability, a significant risk of death,

and that company managers had sought to mislead a local authority investigator. It sought a fine of up to £2.9 million. Jack successfully argued that there had been no deception, that the risk of any injury had been low, and that a significant reduction to reflect the small size of the company's agricultural business was needed. The fine was low at less than £140,000.

- *R (HSE) v Pitsillides* (May 2025) – A s.37 HSWA 1974 prosecution of the sole director of a construction company arising from a fatal fall from height during roofing works with no effective safeguards. A flagrant disregard for health and safety was alleged. At sentence, the Court accepted Jack's mitigation submission that his client's offending arose from an honest mistake regarding the competence of subcontractors, and that he was not required to directly supervise those contractors, meaning that an immediate custodial sentence was avoided.
- R (HSE) v Balfour Beatty Construction Limited (Birmingham Crown Court, September 2024) – A prosecution arising from a work at height fatality involving a crane, Jack was led by Simon Antrobus KC, having previously represented the defendant at inquest.
- R (HSE) v Shell UK Ltd (Chelmsford Crown Court, December 2023) – A prosecution arising out of an accident on an offshore crew transfer vessel, Jack was led by Simon Antrobus KC on behalf of the oil major. The case concerned complex issues related to design, sea state, and weather conditions.
- R (HSE) v West Sussex County Council (July 2024) – Jack represented the County Council in this prosecution relating to an accident in one of its schools. The Council was at risk of being deemed a "Very Large Organisation" and therefore subject to a very high fine. However, in the event, the Court accepted Jack's submissions on all key areas, and imposed a fine of less than £20,000.
- R (HSE) v McFarlane Telfer Ltd & Others (Southwark Crown Court) – Jack was led by Ben Compton KC (Outer Temple Chambers) in this 7 week trial focusing on electrical safety, product liability duties under EU Machinery Directives, and the scope of a catering equipment installer's duty of care in circumstances where the equipment purchased from a third party supplier was inherently but unforeseeably dangerous.
- R (HSE) v McLaren Technology Group *& Others* (Reading Crown Court, February 2023) – Led by John Cooper KC, Jack represented the Formula 1 racing team McLaren in this HSE prosecution concerning the death of a specialist engineering inspector (employed by the co-defendant, Zurich) following a fall at McLaren's premises. Whilst the company was found guilty at trial, on sentence the judge accepted the defence argument (drafted by Jack) that significant safety systems had been in place, that only a small number of individuals had been exposed to risk, and (crucially) that the company's breach was not a significant cause of the death.
- R (HSE) v Douglas (Reading Crown Court, December 2021) – Jack was instructed as sole counsel to represent a high-performance boat builder charged with a breach of regulation 11 of PUWER regarding the use of an unguarded table saw. Jack secured an acquittal following a week-long trial. The case was challenging, and the outcome exceptional given the strict nature of the duty under regulation 11 and the absence of a reasonableness defence.
- R (Thanet DC) v S (Folkestone Magistrates' Court, April 2022) – Jack represented a multi-national building materials company (with a turnover in excess of £1 billion). The case concerned alleged inadequate training in regards to the movement of heavy steel mesh products using fork-lift trucks, and a consequent serious accident involving 3 employees. A significant aspect of the case was the comprehensive fort-lift truck training provided to all employees involved, and the enforcement of exclusion zones. The prosecution contended for a fine of between £1.5 to £3 million. The Judge accepted Jack's arguments and imposed a fine of £400,000 only.
- R (HSE) v *R&J Ltd and Others* (Swansea Magistrates' Court, September 2021) – Jack represented one of two directors of a specialist roofing firm charged with s.37 HSWA offences regarding a serious work at height accident. On sentence, the prosecution's argument that a custodial sentence and a directors' disqualification order should be imposed were rejected, and a community order only

imposed.

- R (HSE) v S Ltd and Others (Grimsby Magistrates' Court, September 2020) – Acting as sole counsel at sentence for a company with turnover in excess of £300 million, in relation to a workplace accident caused by inadequate isolation and lock-off procedures. A favourable fine of £200,000 was obtained.
- R v E (Leicester Crown Court, July 2017) – Led in a s.47 wilful neglect Crown Court trial involving the near-fatal drowning of a vulnerable pupil at a specialist school, in which a conditional discharge was obtained on the basis of unused material Jack identified.
- Being led in a prosecution of an Approved Inspector under the Regulatory Reform (Fire Safety) Order 2005, in which the prosecution offered no evidence following legal submissions on the applicability of the Order.

Inquests & Public Inquiries

"Jack is a very skilled and talented junior". (Chambers and Partners 2025)

Jack has an established specialist Inquests and Public Inquiries practice, and is ranked in both the Legal 500 and Chambers and Partners.

He has a focus on cases involving regulatory or safety issues. His work encompasses construction, public law, HSE and police involvement, healthcare, social care and safeguarding, custody, sports, and product safety / product liability.

Clients include infrastructure and transport companies (Heathrow Airport, Balfour Beatty, Arriva); oil majors (Shell UK), government departments and other public bodies (Ministry of Justice, Maritime and Coastguard Agency, South Central Ambulance Service, Surrey County Council), large service companies (Mitie Group), sporting bodies (Motorsport UK, Motorcycle Circuit Racing Control Board), religious institutions (Archdiocese of Birmingham), and international manufacturers and distributors of specialist components (Ronstan).

He was instructed in the Forbury Gardens Terrorist Attack Inquests and the Grenfell Tower Inquiry; and was first junior for HM Coastguard in the Cranston Inquiry into small boat crossings of the Channel. He appeared in the first well-publicised case involving unlawful killing after the Supreme Court case of Maughan

He is regularly instructed by leading solicitors in the field.

Selected Cases

- The Cranston Inquiry (2025-2026) – Let by James Maxwell-Scott KC, Jack was Junior Counsel for the MCA in the Cranston Inquiry, set up to investigate the events of 24 November 2021, when at least 27 migrants lost their lives crossing the English Channel. The Inquiry chair was chaired by Sir Ross Cranston, a former High Court Judge. It was of national significance, given the significant amount of political, press and public interest into the recent phenomenon of migrant small boat crossings of the Channel.
- Inquest into the death of SH (Stoke on Trent, July 2026) – instructed to represent the Catholic Archdiocese of Birmingham in a sensitive case relating to the death of a priest who had been subject to ongoing safeguarding investigations.

- Inquest into the death of JRS (Croydon Coroner's Court, February to March 2026)- Instructed as sole counsel for South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust in the inquest into the death of a vulnerable hospital inpatient detained under the Mental Health Act, following two absconding incidents in September 2021.
- Oulton Park racetrack double fatality Inquest (January 2026) – Instructed to represent the operators of this well-known racetrack, as well as the governing body for motorcycle racing (the Motorcycle Circuit Racing Control Board) in relation to the deaths of two professional drivers during a stage of the British Superbike Championship. Jack was required to address questions of track safety, track design, and race management.
- Inquest into the death of MP (March 2025) – Jack represented a care worker in this tragic case involving the death of a man with Down's Syndrome from spinal cord injuries caused by a swimming pool accident during a supported holiday in Spain. The potential for criticism and criminal investigation of Jack's client was high. His employer had alleged that the carer was a qualified lifeguard, and that he had been instructed that no jumping into the pool was permitted. Jack's questioning of the other carers on the holiday and a senior manager led the Coroner to reject both allegations, exonerating Jack's client.
- Forbury Gardens Terrorist Attack Inquests (Central Criminal Court, January to April 2024) – Jack was sole counsel for South Central Ambulance Service (the NHS emergency medical service for southern England) in the high-profile inquests into the well-publicised 2020 terrorist attack in Reading. The case was of national significance, with a Court of Appeal judge (Sir Adrian Fulford) appointed as Judge Coroner. Multiple state agencies were involved, with a focus on the preventability of the attacks and the effectiveness of the emergency service response.
- Inquest into the death of F Ospina (West London Coroner's Court, October 2024) – A high-profile 2 week jury inquest concerning the death of a Colombian national detained at Heathrow Immigration Removal Centre. Jack acted for the operators of the Centre.
- Inquest into the death of I Malka (Birmingham Coroner's Court, September 2022) – A two-week jury inquest into a work at height fatality, involving a collision between an overhead crane and an elevated work platform during the construction of a testing facility at the University of Birmingham. Jack acted for the Principal Contractor, the national construction and engineering company Balfour Beatty.
- Inquest into the death of N Saunders – (Reading Coroners' Court, November – December 2022) – A 3-week jury inquest that gained national media attention, it involved a death during ambulance transport due to cocaine-toxicity and the associated phenomenon of 'Acute Behavioural Disturbance' (or 'ABD') following over 1 hour of restraint in handcuffs by the police. Jack acted for South Central Ambulance Service.
- J Barnes Inquest (Manchester City Coroner's Court, February 2021) – Acting for the former operators of the Manchester Metrolink tram system in a sensitive, well-publicised inquest involving the death of a young man following a cardiac arrest, suffered during a contentious physical restraint performed on him by four Metrolink contractors in central Manchester. This was one of the first cases concerned with unlawful killing and the lowered standard of proof following *Maughan*.

Maritime Cases

Jack has significant experience of cases involving marine accidents and maritime regulations across his criminal, civil, and inquest / inquiries work. He has advised and acted for the Maritime and Coastguard

Agency, HM Coastguard, ship owners and insurers, shipping lines, and marine equipment suppliers in a range of proceedings.

Selected work includes:

- The Cranston Inquiry (2025-2026) – Let by James Maxwell-Scott KC, Jack was Junior Counsel for the MCA in the Cranston Inquiry, set up to investigate the events of 24 November 2021, when at least 27 migrants lost their lives crossing the English Channel. The Inquiry chair was chaired by Sir Ross Cranston, a former High Court Judge. It was of national significance, given the significant amount of political, press and public interest into the recent phenomenon of migrant small boat crossings of the Channel.
- Richmond Inquest (August 2025) – instructed by an Australian-domiciled international supplier and manufacturer of yacht components in relation to the the death of a professional sailor resulting from a catastrophic rigging failure on board a 102ft superyacht during race training in Antigua. Key issues were whether a particular component was defective by design, or had a manufacturing defect. Jack provided advice on strategy, represented the client at inquest, and cross examined the yacht owner's metallurgy expert. Yacht design and maintenance issues were found to be the cause of the failure, rather than inherent design flaws or defects in the component itself.
- Advising the Maritime and Coastguard Agency on the application of The Merchant Shipping (Accident Reporting and Investigation) Regulations 2026.
- Representing the Maritime and Coastguard Agency in inquest proceedings concerned with a fatal speedboat accident in Poole Harbour the result of "drink boating". Jack assisted the MCA in explaining and justifying the current regulatory regime, enforcement powers, and vessel coding requirements.
- Representing insurers in relation to a fatal accident during a commercial speedboat experience on Southampton Water. The case concerned complex questions of coding requirements and boat design.
- Representing P&O in inquest proceedings relating to a death by suicide on a cross-channel ferry.
- Representing Seatruck in relation to a fatality of a deckhand on a RORO ferry during haulage operations.
- Prosecuting a fishing vessel skipper on behalf of the Maritime and Coastguard Agency, in relation to a fatality at sea.

Products

Jack's practice includes both product safety and product liability cases.

His product safety work focuses on regulatory crime cases involving allegedly defective products, and health and safety cases involving questions of compliance with the essential requirements of the Machinery Directive and Supply of Machinery (Safety) Regulations 2008. He has advised clients on the compliance of new products, routinely appears in inquests involving product safety issue, and has represented defendants at trial and sentence.

His civil work also encompasses product liability. He accepts instructions in personal injury cases arising from product liability issues, as well as property damages cases arising from allegedly defective products.

Selected work includes:

- Richmond Inquest (August 2025) – Instructed by an Australian-domiciled international supplier and manufacturer of yacht components in relation to the the death of a professional sailor resulting from a catastrophic rigging failure on board a 102ft superyacht during race training in Antigua. Key issues were whether a particular component was defective by design, or had a manufacturing defect. Jack provided advice on strategy, represented the client at inquest, and cross examined the yacht owner's metallurgy expert. Yacht design and maintenance issues were found to be the cause of the failure, rather than inherent design flaws or defects in the component itself.
- R (HSE) v Shell UK Ltd (Chelmsford Crown Court, December 2023) – A prosecution arising out of an accident on an offshore crew transfer vessel, Jack was led by Simon Antrobus KC on behalf of the oil major. The case concerned complex issues related to design and operational tolerances of a state-of-the-art extending, gyroscopic gangway.
- R (HSE) v Knowles Logistics Limited (October 2025) – The prosecution of a large haulage business, relating to an employee's fall from a large agricultural harvesting machine. The HSE alleged that Jack's client should have made aftermarket alterations to three machines, despite the fact that the manufacturer asserted compliance with the essential safety requirements of the Machinery Directive and the Supply of Machinery (Safety) Regulations 2008.
- R (HSE) v McFarlane Telfer Ltd & Others (Southwark Crown Court, 2023) – Jack was led by Ben Compton KC (Outer Temple Chambers) in this 7 week trial focusing on electrical safety, product safety duties under Machinery Directive, the scope of an equipment supplier and installer's duty of care in circumstances where the equipment purchased from a third party supplier was inherently dangerous despite being CE marked.
- Advising a defendant company on both liability and expert evidence in an occupational asthma claim pleaded in the region of £400,000, involving alleged exposure to toxic fumes from defective batteries. At a case management hearing the Court accepted Jack's application for a split trial and permission for expert engineering and occupational hygiene evidence, instead of multiple medical expert disciplines proposed by the claimant. This evidence subsequently caused the claimant to discontinue.
- Advising a tech company on potential product safety and insurance issues in relation to an allergen advice app.
- Advising a screw manufacturer on strategy in an inquest and related criminal investigation, in which the HSE alleged that the tensile strength of a batch of screws did not meet stated tolerances due to manufacturing defects, and therefore were said to have been causative of a fatal accident when a wooden structure failed.
- Acting for the family in inquest and related civil proceedings in one of the first tumble dryer fire fatalities.

Environmental Law

Jack's environmental law practice covers both prosecutions and public nuisance cases under the Environmental Protection Act 1990. Recent work in this area includes:

- Representing an academy trust in an Environmental Protection Act prosecution alleging that noise created by a school's all weather astroturf sports facility is a public nuisance.
- Successfully defending Waitrose in an Environmental Protection Act prosecution concerning noise from a goods yard.
- Securing the discontinuance of Environmental Protection Act proceedings against a large national housebuilder, in which it was alleged by the local authority that the housebuilder had breached an

abatement notice relating to sewerage.
- Representing another large national housebuilder in appeal proceedings against an abatement notice under the Environmental Protection Act, in circumstances where the underlying nuisance arose from premises not in its control.

Motoring Offences

Jack's motor offences work includes regular trials and sentencing hearings for a range of motoring offences, from causing death by dangerous or careless driving, down to careless driving, as well as s.170 Road Traffic Act failure to report / failure to stop offences, and exceptional hardship applications.

Recent highlights include:

- Advancing a successful dismissal application in a death by careless driving case.
- Securing non-custodial sentences in both a death by careless driving case and a serious injury by dangerous driving case.
- Successfully making an exceptional hardship for the director of a national housebuilder.
- Avoiding both a custodial sentence and a disqualification in a failure to stop / report and injury to cyclist case.
- Securing acquittals at trial in respective careless driving cases involving collisions with cyclists.
- Securing a discontinuance by means of a bad character application, which demonstrated that the complainant and key prosecution witness was routinely involved in illegal road racing and other reprehensible behaviour.
- Successfully applying to withdraw a guilty plea, and securing a discontinuance in a careless driving case on medical grounds.

Personal Injury

Jack has a busy defendant personal injury practice, encompassing both casualty and industrial disease claims, and with a particular focus on liability disputes in which novel duty of care issues arise. His regulatory crime practice complements his personal injury practice in this regard, with Jack frequently far more familiar with relevant regulations than his opponents.

He regularly drafts pleadings, provides advice on liability and quantum, and undertakes settlement negotiations in cases ranging in value from £50,000 to c.£500,000.

His cases frequently involve three or more disciplines of expert medical evidence, or claimants with complex pre-existing medical conditions. Several of his more recent cases have involved occupational stress and psychiatric injury.

Selected Cases

- Marica v Berkeley Homes (Central London County Court, November 2022, HHJ Baucher)– Jack successfully defended a claim valued at c.£300,000, involving an accident on one of Berkeley's large housing development sites, when a subcontractor attempted to climb over a locked security gate.

The claimant's argument sought to equate duties owed under the Construction (Design and Management) Regulations 2015 with an construction company's duty of care at common law as occupier of its own site. Following a three day trial, HHJ Baucher rejected the claim on all points, and accepted Jack's argument that the Defendant did not owe any duty of care to guard against the risk of individuals permitted to be on site from climbing over a security gate, the fundamental purpose of which was to deter climbing.

- Advising on both liability and expert evidence in an occupational asthma claim pleaded in the region of £400,000, involving a novel mode of alleged exposure (a domestic battery), and raising fundamental questions of foreseeability and remoteness. At the CCMC Jack successfully persuaded the court to order a split trial and grant permission for expert engineering and occupational hygiene evidence. This evidence subsequently led to Claimant discontinuing the claim, with even the Claimant's expert concluding that exposure to injurious fumes from a domestic battery was unforeseeable, if indeed possible at all.
- A chronic pain syndrome case valued in excess of £400,000, which Jack was able to compromise for a global figure less than £150,000.

Civil Fraud

Jack is frequently instructed in cases involving concerns of fraud: to conduct trials, proof witnesses, advise on prospects, and settle pleadings. Much of his fraud work arises out of road traffic accidents or public liability personal injury claims, and he has successfully defended several low velocity impact, induced accident and exaggerated injury claims at trial. He also undertakes more general insurance fraud work.

His criminal court and inquests advocacy experience compliments his fraud work.

Jack has extensive experience of fundamental dishonesty, QOCS, and s.57 CJCA 2015 applications. He has obtained several dishonesty findings following cross-examination at trial. He has also obtained four dishonesty findings without trial following discontinuance, one of which being the first instance dishonesty finding in *Rayner v Raymond Brown Group* (2016) CC (Oxford) (Judge Harris KC) 03/08/2016, referred to in the leading case of *LOCOG v Sinfield* [2018] EWHC 51 (QB).

Rankings

Qualifications

- Lincoln’s Inn Denning, Bowen & Hardwicke Scholarships
- BPTC, City Law School
- GDL, City University
- BA Hons (Oxon)

Recommendations

"Jack Murphy is very slick and polished in both preparation and presentation."..."He is a very accomplished junior who you can always trust to get stuck in."..."Jack is a very able, knowledgeable and hard-working junior who dovetails very well with counsel and is across the detail of a large trial. He is trusted to handle his own work on health and safety and inquest matters."
Chambers & Partners, 2027

"Jack is a very bright lawyer with excellent knowledge of coronial law. He is a strong advocate whom judges trust, and he is diligent and hardworking."..." He is passionate about the right outcome, works incredibly hard to achieve that outcome, and comes across to the client as polite, knowledgeable, and confident."
Legal 500, 2027

"You can tell Jack's got a lot of courtroom experience. He's quick on his feet and a slick operator."..."He's a great junior. He's energetic, responsive and quick on his feet."
Chambers & Partners, 2026

"Jack is a go-to health and safety practitioner. He is a fantastic strategist who can navigate complex and sensitive cases with fierce determination."..."Jack has an excellent way with clients. He puts them at ease and is able to immediately gauge and assess his audience and explain complex legal issues in a way they understand."
Legal 500, 2026

"Jack is a rising star."..."He has a great grasp of the law and technicality and what is needed to get the best outcome for the client."..."His level of detail and the way in which he can translate that into conducting himself in court is so impressive."
Chambers & Partners, 2025

"Jack is fast becoming a go-to junior within Crown Office Chambers." He "is a very skilled and talented junior."..."He is an all-round good barrister."
Chambers & Partners, 2025

"Jack is very thorough, well prepared, clear, direct and makes skillful and strategic points."
Legal 500, 2025

"He's a really technical barrister who gets into the detail of the case really quickly."
Chambers & Partners, 2024

"Jack is very quick and has an eye for detail. He is very much a specialist health and safety lawyer. Highly recommended."
Legal 500, 2024

"Jack has a very astute tactical approach, and a cogent and determined advocacy style."
Legal 500, 2024

"Jack is extremely diligent, thorough, well prepared, and has good commercial awareness."
Legal 500, 2023

"Jack is a really thoughtful barrister and knows how to behave with various witnesses."
Chambers & Partners, 2023

"He's meticulous in his approach and has a lovely manner about him with clients and the courts."
Chambers & Partners, 2022

"Very experienced, much beyond his years. Very legally and tactically astute, good eye for detail, understands strategy, and is very well prepared. Highly recommend."
Legal 500, 2022

"He is on top of the detail, he gets the issues and he works extremely hard."
Chambers & Partners, 2022